

Commissioner for Patents United States Patent and Trademark Office P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

ROTHWELL, FIGG, ERNST & MANBECK, P.C. 1425 K STREET, N.W. SUITE 800 WASHINGTON DC 20005

MAILED

FEB 2 5 2011

OFFICE OF PETITIONS

In re Application of Barnardo et al.

Application No. 10/623,802

Filed: July 22, 2003

Attorney Docket No. 1181-282

DECISION ON PETITION

This is a decision on the petition under the unintentional provisions of 37 CFR 1.137(b), filed January 18, 2011, to revive the above-identified application.

The petition is **GRANTED**.

This application became abandoned as a result of petitioner's failure to file an appeal brief (and fee required by 37 CFR 41.20(b)(2)) within the time period provided in 37 CFR 41.37(a)(1). No extensions of time under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(b) were obtained. As an appeal brief (and appeal brief fee) was not filed within two (2) months of the Notice of Appeal dated November 30, 2009, the appeal was dismissed and the proceedings as to the rejected claims were terminated. See 37 CFR 1.197(b). As no claim was allowed, the application became abandoned on January 31, 2010. See MPEP 1215.04. A Notice of Abandonment was mailed July 16, 2010.

The petition satisfies the requirements of 37 CFR 1.137(b) in that petitioner has supplied (1) the reply in the form of a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) and fee of \$810.00, and the submission required by 37 CFR 1.114;; (2) the petition fee of \$1,620.00; and (3) a proper statement of unintentional delay.

Further, it is not apparent whether the statement of unintentional delay was signed by a person who would have been in a position of knowing that the **entire** delay in filing the required reply from the due date for the reply until the filing of a grantable petition pursuant to 37 CFR 1.137(b) was unintentional. Nevertheless, in accordance with 37 CFR 10.18, the statement is accepted as constituting a certification of unintentional delay. However, in the event that petitioner has no knowledge that the delay was unintentional, petitioner must make such an inquiry to ascertain that, in fact, the delay was

unintentional. If petitioner discovers that the delay was intentional, petitioner must notify the Office.

Additionally, it is not apparent whether the person signing the instant petition was ever given a power of attorney or authorization of agent to prosecute this patent application. In accordance with 37 CFR 1.34(a), the signature appearing on the petition shall constitute a representation to the United States Patent and Trademark Office that he/she is authorized to represent the particular party in whose behalf he/she acts. The address given on the petition differs from the address of record. A courtesy copy of this decision is being mailed to the address given on the petition; however, the Office will mail all future correspondence solely to the address of record.

Telephone inquiries concerning this decision should be directed to the undersigned at (571) 272-7751.

This application is being referred to Technology Center AU 1641 for appropriate action by the Examiner in the normal course

Joan Olszewski Petitions Examiner

Office of Petitions

cc: Patrick J. Halloran

3141 Muirfield Road

Center Valley, PA 18034